

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: D.W. Babcock Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/10/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 57

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Poor

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Del Paso Heights Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/6/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 58

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Dry Creek Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 45

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Fair

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Elwood J. Keema High School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/8/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 38

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Fairbanks Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/6/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 53

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Foothill High School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/8/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 148

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Poor

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Foothill Oaks Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 46

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Poor

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Foothill Ranch Middle School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 86

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Poor

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Frederick C. Joyce Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/5/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 58

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Garden Valley Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/4/2020  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 52

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Good  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Fair

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a “poor” ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Grant Union High School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/8/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 220

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Poor

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Poor

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Poor

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Poor: The school facilities are in poor condition. Deficiencies of various degrees have been noted throughout the site. Major repairs and maintenance are necessary throughout the campus.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Hagginwood Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/10/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 54

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Highlands High School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/7/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 120

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Poor

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Kohler Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/10/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 48

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Fair

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Martin Luther King, Jr. Technology Academy

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020  
Number of Areas Evaluated: 94

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good  
Interior surfaces: Fair  
Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good  
Electrical: Poor  
Restrooms and Fountains: Good  
Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good  
Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good  
External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Fair: The school is not in good repair. Some deficiencies noted are critical and/or widespread. Repairs and/or additional maintenance are necessary in several areas of the school site.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Michael J. Castori Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/5/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 58

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Northwood Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/10/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 60

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Poor

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Fair

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Norwood Junior High School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 82

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Poor

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Orchard Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 65

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Fair

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Pioneer Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 52

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Rio Linda Preparatory Academy

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/7/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 65

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Fair

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Rio Tierra Junior High School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/4/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 83

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Sierra View Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 46

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Village School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 55

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Fair

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.



# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Warren A. Allison Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 44

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Westside Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 53

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Good

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

## **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District

School Site: Woodlake Elementary School

### **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

### **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/9/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 46

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Fair

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Fair

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.

# **Sacramento County Office of Education 2020-21 Williams Site Review Summary\***

District: Twin Rivers Unified School District  
School Site: Woodridge Elementary School

## **Instructional Materials Site Review**

Result: Sufficient

## **School Facility Inspection Review**

Inspection Date: 3/10/2020

Number of Areas Evaluated: 59

### **Category Ranking\*\***

Systems (Gas leaks, mechanical, HVAC, sewer): Good

Interior surfaces: Poor

Cleanliness (Overall cleanliness, pest or vermin infestation): Good

Electrical: Good

Restrooms and Fountains: Good

Safety (Fire safety and hazardous materials): Good

Structural (Structural damage and roofs): Good

External (Playground, school grounds, windows, doors, and fences): Good

### **School Rating and Description**

Good: The school is maintained in good repair with a number of non-critical deficiencies noted. These deficiencies are isolated, and/or resulting from minor wear and tear, and/or in the process of being mitigated.

\* The district conducted its own school facility inspection review due to COVID-19. This summary reflects the information the district reported to SCOE.

\*\* Note: An extreme deficiency in any area automatically results in a "poor" ranking for that category.